

AWPA Government Affairs Conference

American Institute for International Steel and Section 232

September 27, 2018



2016 National Economic Impacts Generated by the Imported Iron and Steel products on the National Marine Transportation System and Domestic Users

1. 3 million jobs supported by port activity

- Direct Jobs: 26,432
- Induced Jobs: 33,182
- Indirect Jobs: 24,338
- Related importers direct, induced and indirect: 1,216,863

\$239.8 billion of total economic activity - accounts for **1.3% of U.S. GDP** in 2016

- \$4.2 billion direct business revenue
- \$4.8 billion of re-spending of personal income and consumption expenditures
- \$230.7 billion of economic output by related importers

\$62.7 billion total personal income and local consumption

- **\$53,796:** Average salary for direct employees

\$19.4 billion of federal, state, and local taxes

- \$2.3 billion local tax generated by direct, induced, and indirect activity
- \$17.1 billion generated by importers using the imported steel

AIIS U. S. Court of International Trade

AIIS, et al. v. United States, et al.			
Court of International Trade Ct. No. 18-00152			
EVENT	Date	CALCULATION	RULE
Summons Filed	6/27/2018		
Complaint Filed	6/27/2018	Concurrent with summons	
U.S. Answer to Complaint Filed	9/14/2018	8/10/2018 CIT Extension Order	R. 12(a)(1)(A)
Reply to Answer to Complaint Due (if ordered)		21 days after being served with an order to reply	R. 12(a)(1)(D)
Motion for Three-Judge Panel Filed	6/27/2018		
U.S. Response to Motion for Three-Judge Panel Filed	7/18/2018	21 days after service of motion	R.7(d)
Order Assigning Three-Judge Panel (Kelly, Choe-Groves, Katzmann)	9/19/2018	CIT Order	
Motion for Summary Judgment Filed	7/19/2018		
Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Filed	8/16/2018		
U.S. Response to Motion for Summary Judgment Filed	9/14/2018	8/10/2018 CIT Extension Order	R7(d)
AISI Amicus Curiae Response to Motion for Summary Judgment Filed	9/14/2018		
Reply to U.S. & AISI Responses to Motion for Summary Judgment Due	10/5/2018	21 days after service of response to motion	R7(d)
Response to AISI Motion to Appear as Amicus Curiae Due	10/5/2018	CIT Docket	R7(d)
Response to U.S. Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Due	10/19/2018	CIT Docket	R7(d)
U.S. Reply to AIIS et al. Response to Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings Due	11/9/2018	21 days after service of response to motion	R7(d)
Motion for Oral Argument Due	10/26/2018	21 days after service of last permitted response or reply to the motion	R.7(c)
Oral Argument			

Double check deadlines on docket entries.

FAQ ASSOCIATED WITH THE AIIS COMPLAINT FILED WITH THE CIT

- WHY DID THE AIIS SUE IN THE COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE
- WHY A THREE JUDGE PANEL REQUEST
- WILL THERE BE A TRAIL IN THIS CASE
- ARE YOU ASKING THE COURT TO DISAGREE WITH PRESIDENT TRUMPS DECISION TO IMPOSE TARIFFS OR ARE YOU ARGUING THAT NATIONAL SECURITY IS NOT INVOLVED WITH STEEL IMPORTS
- DO YOU ARGUE THAT THE PRESIDENT DID NOT FOLLOW THEN LAW AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM
- IS THERE ANY LEGAL SIGNIFICANE TO THE LACK OF JUDICIAL REVIEW.



Thank You For Your Attention

AIIS

AMERICAN INSTITUTE

FOR



INTERNATIONAL STEEL